

A Conceptual Model and a Model Concept

By Michele Mark Levine

The GASB recently issued two preliminary views (PV) documents, one on financial reporting model improvements and another that reexamines part of a conceptual framework PV.

n September 2018, the Governmental Accounting Standards (GASB) issued two preliminary views (PV) documents, Financial Reporting Model Improvements and Recognition of Elements of Financial Statements. The first is a follow-up to the invitation to comment (ITC) on the same project that was issued in 2016. That project grew out of a reexamination of the current financial reporting model, which was put in place by GASB Statement No.34, Basic Financial Statements — and Management's Discussions and Analysis — for State and Local Governments, issued nearly 20 years ago. The second PV reexamines part of a conceptual framework PV from 2011, the remaining part of which went on to become GASB Concepts Statement No. 6, Measurement of Elements of Financial Statements.

GASB develops concepts statements to guide the Board's future standard-setting activities.1 In this case, the two PVs - one a proposed standard and the other a proposed concepts statement underpinning the former's most significant proposals — were issued simultaneously. That is because a primary stated purpose of the Reporting Model PV is to provide conceptual consistency within governmental fund financial statements that the GASB believes is lacking in the current reporting model.² Thus, the Reporting Model PV (the proposed standard) and the Recognition PV (the proposed concepts statement) are fundamentally intertwined.

The point of overlap between the PVs³ is a proposed new measurement focus (MF)⁴ called the short-term financial resources MF, which would replace the current financial resources MF now in use for governmental fund financial statements. The Short-Term MF would divide transactions and other events (hereafter referred to jointly as transactions) into two types. The first, for transactions normally resulting in flows of cash and other short-term financial resources (hereafter referred to jointly as cash flows) within one year of the transaction's inception, is referred to as a short-term transaction and for fund activity reporting purposes classified as a current activity. The second, for transactions normally resulting in cash flows that extend beyond one year of the transaction's inception, is a long-term transaction and event, and is reported separately as a noncurrent activity. The type of transaction would dictate whether the resulting resource flows (revenues and expenditures, in current terminology) are recognized immediately upon the transaction's occurrence (short-term) or only when the related cash flows are due (long-term).

As discussed in the Reporting Model PV, short-term financial resources would include cash as well as resources that can be converted to cash or consumed in lieu of cash. Notably, this would definitively bring supplies inventories and prepaid items onto the governmental funds balance sheet, where-

as governments now generally have the option of reporting expenditures for these immediately upon purchase or prepayment.

The distinction between current and noncurrent activities in the proposed Short-Term MF would essentially replace the Current MF's distinctions between revenues and expenditures on one hand, and other financing sources and uses on the other. However, there are some important distinctions between flows for noncurrent activities and other financing sources and uses. One is that all flows related to long-term transactions would be consistently characterized as such, whereas long-term debt transactions currently result in other financing sources when borrowed, but debt service expenditures when repaid. The GASB is aiming to achieve a symmetry in conceptual consistency. The Short-Term MF would have the benefit of no longer requiring the recording of another financing source (to stand in for debt proceeds) and another financing use (to stand in for capital expenditures) when lessee governments enter into capital leases.⁵ As no actual flow of financial resources results from the lease transaction upon inception, there would be no recognition in a governmental fund. Lease payments would be recognized, when they are due, as outflows of current financial resources (cash) for noncurrent activities.6

Another important distinction between the Current MF and the Short-Term MF regards interfund activity. Interfund payables and receivables would not be reported in governmental funds in all cases when using the Short-Yerm MF, as they are when using the

Current MF. Interfund balances would only be reported in governmental funds when they relate to short-term transactions. Interfund transfers, currently reported as other financing sources and uses, would instead be classified based on the short-term or long-term nature of the transactions from which they result. It is notable that using the Short-Term MF, interest — even on long-term debt and capital leases, which are themselves long-term transactions — would be recognized as it accrues because the accrual would be the transaction (or. in this case, the event) from which it arises, and interest is normally paid within one year from the time it accrues.

Two important concepts that would continue in the Short-Term MF are those of resource availability and the normal timing of cash flows; however, the application of each would change.

Two important concepts that would continue in the Short-Term MF are those of resource availability and the normal timing of cash flows; however, the application of each would change.

Inflows (revenues) related to a period are only recognized to the extent that they are available, meaning that they are, or are expected to be, collected during the current period, or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Under the Current MF, the maximum length of time beyond the fiscal year-end that cash flows related to the reporting

period may occur and the resources considered available at year end varies both within and between governments. In contrast, and in line with the GASB's focus on consistency, the Short-Term MF would use a uniform one-year availability period.

The concept of "normally," used to describe the timing of the cash flows when distinguishing between long-term and short-term transactions in the Short-Term MF, is the one articulated in the GASB's Interpretation No. 6, Recognition and Measurement of Certain Liabilities and Expenditures in Governmental Fund Financial Statements. It refers to what is "normal" for all governments, rather than for a particular government or a type of government. In the Reporting Model PV, however, the GASB indicates that it intends to issue guidance to identify what would be "considered normal for specific classes of transactions." Indeed, in that PV, the GASB proposes to treat all revenue anticipation and tax anticipation note issuances as short-term transactions, even if the final maturity date is beyond one year after issuance. In this way, the GASB categorically characterizes these two types of notes as short-term, as their issuance normally results in cash flows within one year.

When the GASB first sought feedback on this reporting model reexamination project in the form of the ITC issued in December 2016, they proposed three potential alternatives for governmental funds. While there were other differences between the approaches, one key difference was regarding the availability period that would be standard in each. In providing comments to the GASB on the ITC, GFOA favored⁸ the approach

that would have used an availability period of up to 90 days, based on its similarity to the period of availability used in the Current MF and current budgeting practices of many governments. More generally, in the written comments and in testimony before the GASB during a public hearing on the topic, GFOA noted that we perceived no urgent need for a major change in the reporting model, the GASB's express concern about conceptual and reporting consistency notwithstanding. GFOA has not yet developed comments on the Recognition or Reporting Model PVs, but a fundamental consideration will almost certainly be whether the value of conceptual consistency is high enough to warrant the costs of implementation and education of government preparers, auditors, and a wide range of users of public-sector financial reporting that would be required by a comprehensive revision of governmental fund financial reporting.

When the GASB first sought feedback on this reporting model reexamination project in the form of the ITC issued in December 2016, it proposed three potential alternatives for governmental funds.

Notes

- 1. Preliminary Views of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board on Concepts Related to Recognition of Elements of Financial Statements, Governmental Accounting Standards Board, September 2018, paragraph 1.
- 2. Preliminary Views of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board on Major

- Issues Related to Financial Reporting Model Improvements, GASB, September 2018, Chapter 2, paragraph 3.
- 3. Complete discussions of the Reporting Model PV and of the Recognition PV can be found in the October and November issues of GFOA's GAAFR Review, respectively.
- 4. The measurement focus of financial statements indicates what is being measured, such as financial or economic resources.
- 5. For governments that have implemented GASB Statement No. 87, Leases, what is said here about capital leases would be the case for all leases other than those (a) with a maximum possible term of one year, or (b) that transfer ownership of the underlying asset at the end of the lease term.
- 6. Of course, the long-term asset and liability related to the lease would be included as general government assets and liabilities in the governmental activities columns of government-wide financial statements, which are reported using the economic resources measurement focus.
- 7. Reporting Model PV, Chapter 2, paragraph 14.
- 8. GFOA's comment letter to GASB stated, in part: "GFOA strongly believes that governmental funds should continue to present information that reflects a shorter time perspective and that focuses on financial resources. Governments must demonstrate fiscal accountability (the raising and spending of public moneys in the short term) to the citizenry and other stakeholders. Since most governments prepare their budgets and manage their operations on an annual cycle, the use of a shorter time perspective best fits that need. It would be difficult, if not impossible, to measure fiscal accountability (a short-term concept) if long-term assets and liabilities and the related annual changes are incorporated into the governmental funds."
- 9. In the ITC, the GASB did not specifically identify the availability period for the "nearterm approach" that GFOA favors, but the ITC indicated it would likely be in the 60- to 90-day range (Invitation to Comment of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board on major issues related to Financial Reporting Model Improvements — Governmental Funds, GASB, December 2016, Chapter 2, paragraph 10).

MICHELE MARK LEVINE is the director of GFOA's Technical Services Center.

POSTAL SERVICE : (All Periodical	s Publications Except Requester Publicat	lati tior
s. Publicator Title Government Finance Review	2. Publication Number 3. Filing Date 3. 6 8 1 2 0 November 27, 20	115
E. Issue Frequency	S. Number of Issues Published Annually S. Annual Subscripton P	tice
bimonthly: Feb., April, Aug., Oct., Dec.	six \$35	
P. Complete Mailing Address of Known Office of Publication (Not print Government Finance Officers Association)	tel) (Street, city, county, state, and ZIP+4F) Contact Pyrison Marroy Boggs	_
203 N. LaSalle St., Ste. 2700, Chicago, IL 60601	Telephone (include area 312-977-9700	code
B. Complete Mailing Address of Headquarters or General Business O		_
Government Finance Officers Association, 203 N. LaS	alle St., Ste. 2700, Chicago, IL 60601	
k. Full Names and Complete Mailing Addresses of Publisher, Editor, s Publisher (Name and complete mailing address)	ed Managing Editor (Do not leave blank)	_
	ciation, 203 N. LaSalle St., Ste. 2700, Chicago, IL 60601	
Editor (Name and complete mailing address)		_
Michael J. Muchs, Director, Government Finance Offi	pers Association, 203 N. LaSalle St., Ste. 2700, Chicago, IL 60	100
Marcy Boggs, Government Finance Officers Associate		
 Owner (Do not leave blank if the publication is owned by a corporanees and addresses of all aborholders owning or holding if pannanees and addresses of the individual owners. If owned by a partial each individual owner. If the publication is published by a nonprofit 	ation, give the name and address of the corporation immediately followed by ent or more of the tatal amount of stock. If not owned by a corporation, give to mentally or other unincorporated film, give to name and address as well as this corporatiosion, give its name and address.)	
 Owner (Do not leave blank, if the publication is owned by a corpor names and addresses of all abcolholders owning or holding if pan names and addresses of the individual owners. If owned by a out 	ation, give the name and address of the corporation immediately followed by ere or more of the tasis amount of stock. If not owned by a corporation, give the mentation or other unincorporated firm size is name and address as seek as the	100 0
30. Owner (50 nor leave blank if the publication is owned by a corporance and addresses of all abcoholders owning or holding 1 personance and addresses of the individual owner. If owned by a part seach individual owner. If the publication is published by a nonprofiful Name.	ation, give the name and address of the corporation immediately followed by ener or more of the state amount of ation. If not owned by a corporation, give the mentalips or other unknotoporated firm, give tit name and address as well as tho roganization, give the annea and address. Completes Mailling Address.	100 0
30. Owner (50 nor leave blank if the publication is owned by a corporance and addresses of all abcoholders owning or holding 1 personance and addresses of the individual owner. If owned by a part seach individual owner. If the publication is published by a nonprofiful Name.	ation, give the name and address of the corporation immediately followed by ener or more of the state amount of ation. If not owned by a corporation, give the mentalips or other unknotoporated firm, give tit name and address as well as tho roganization, give the annea and address. Completes Mailling Address.	100 0
30. Owner (50 nor leave blank if the publication is owned by a corporance and addresses of all abcoholders owning or holding 1 personance and addresses of the individual owner. If owned by a part seach individual owner. If the publication is published by a nonprofiful Name.	ation, give the name and address of the corporation immediately followed by ener or more of the state amount of ation. If not owned by a corporation, give the mentalips or other unknotoporated firm, give tit name and address as well as tho roganization, give the annea and address. Completes Mailling Address.	100 0
30. Owner (50 nor leave blank if the publication is owned by a corporance and addresses of all abcoholders owning or holding 1 personance and addresses of the individual owner. If owned by a part seach individual owner. If the publication is published by a nonprofiful Name.	ation, give the name and address of the corporation immediately followed by ener or more of the state amount of ation. If not owned by a corporation, give the mentalips or other unknotoporated firm, give tit name and address as well as tho roganization, give the annea and address. Completes Mailling Address.	te see of
One (See State See See See See See See See See See S	ISSO, par for none and addition (Prior supported in meetingly followed by a most own of the document of their for all or and the supported by a properties of the support of their form of the support of the properties of the support of the support of the support of the 200 N. Ludder B., Die 2700, Cheage, B. 60001-1.	100 0
Ower Spirit seve bank Fine Judication is owned by a supportance and admisses of its absolution in several policy and admisses of the absolution in several policy and purely acceptance and admisses are in publication as published by a policy and admisses and published are published as a published and admisses and admisses and published and admisses admisses and admisses and admisses and admisses admisses and admisses admisses and admisses	stor, give the times and assess of the corporation investigate, believed by a serior control for the time investigate for the control of times of of t	100 0
Other Dis or have been if the publishers is over by a copie of the control o	Mon, gas for once and addition of the adoption investigate philosofty in water processing of the adoption of the adoption of the adoption of the water processing of the adoption of the adoption of the adoption of the compare feelings of the adoption of t	100 0
Other Dis or have been if the publishers is over by a copie of the control o	Mon, gas for once and addition of the adoption investigate philosofty in water processing of the adoption of the adoption of the adoption of the water processing of the adoption of the adoption of the adoption of the compare feelings of the adoption of t	100 0
Other Dis or have been if the publishers is over by a copie of the control o	Mon, gas for once and addition of the adoption investigate philosofty in water processing of the adoption of the adoption of the adoption of the water processing of the adoption of the adoption of the adoption of the compare feelings of the adoption of t	te see of
Other Dis or have been if the publishers is over by a copie of the control o	Mon, gas for once and addition of the adoption investigate philosofty in water processing of the adoption of the adoption of the adoption of the water processing of the adoption of the adoption of the adoption of the compare feelings of the adoption of t	100 0
Other Dis or have been if the publishers is over by a copie of the control o	Mon, gas for once and addition of the adoption investigate philosofty in water processing of the adoption of the adoption of the adoption of the water processing of the adoption of the adoption of the adoption of the compare feelings of the adoption of t	te see of

Aplicator Title overnment Finance Review		14. Issue Date for Circulation Data Selow August 2018		
Extent and Na	dure	of Circulation	Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months	No. Copies of Si Issue Published Nearest to Filing
a. Total Numbe	er of	Copies (Net press run)	20,462	20,599
b. Paid Circulation (By Mail and Outside the Mail)	60	Malled Outside-County Paid Subscriptions Stated on PS Form 3541 (Include paid distribution above nominal rate, advertiser's proof copies, and exchange copies)	464	459
	(2)	Mailed in-County Paid Subscriptions Stated on PS Form 3541 (include paid distribution above nominal rate, advertiser's proof copies, and euchange copies)	19,593	19,810
	(a)	Paid Distribution Outside the Malis Including Sales Through Dealers and Carriers, Street Vendors, Courter Sales, and Other Paid Distribution Outside USPS®	n/a	n/a
	(4)	Paid Distribution by Other Classes of Mail Through the USPS (e.g., First-Class Mail*)	n/a	nis
c. Total Paid D	Okara	oution (Sum of 150 (1), (2), (3), and (4)	20,058	20,278
d. Free or Nominal Rate Distribution (By Mail	(3)	Free or Nominal Rate Outside-County Copies included on PS Form 3541	n/a	n/a
	(2)	Free or Nominal Rate in-County Copies Included on PS Form 3541	n/a	n/a
and Outside the Mail)	(2)	Fine or Nominal Rate Copies Mailed at Other Classes Through the USPS (e.g., First-Class Mail)	n/a	nis
	(4)	Free or Nominal Pate Distribution Curside the Mail (Carriers or other means)	153	160
e. Total Free o	r No	minal Rate Distribution (Sum of 15d (1), (2), (3) and (4)	153	160
f. Total Distrib	ution	(Sturn of 15c and 15e)	20,211	20,438
g. Copies not 0	Dian	buted (See instructions to Publishers #4 page #3)	251	161
n. Total plum	of 15	fand gi	20,462	20,599
i. Percent Paid (15c divided	i Dv:	187 Simes 1001	99%	99%

98. Electronic Copy Circulation	Average No. Copies Each Issue During Proceeding 12 Month	s No. Copies o Issue Publish hs Nearest to Fi
a. Paid Electronic Copies	nia	nia
b. Total Paid Print Copies (Line 15c) + Paid Silectronic Copies (Line 16a)	20,452	20,50
c. Total Print Distribution (Line 15f) + Paid Electronic Copies (Line 16a)	20,211	20,43
d. Percent Paid (Both Print & Electronic Copies) (16b divided by 16c × 100)	99%	991
i certify that 50% of all my distributed copies (electronic and print) are paid above a nomin	al price.	
17. Publication of Statement of Ownership		
If the publication is a general publication, publication of this statement is required. Will be primed in the December 2018 issue of this publication.	Publi	ication not require
18. Signature and Title of Editor, Publisher, Business Manager, or Chiner	- 1	November 27,
-M-13-11-		
or who critis material or information requested on the form may be subject to criminal sanctions (including (including civil persisting).	ng fines and imprisonme	ing information on- ent) and/or civil sar
control of the second s	g free and ingriscome	