



A Conceptual Model and a Model Concept

By Michele Mark Levine

The GASB recently issued two preliminary views (PV) documents, one on financial reporting model improvements and another that reexamines part of a conceptual framework PV.

In September 2018, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued two preliminary views (PV) documents, *Financial Reporting Model Improvements* and *Recognition of Elements of Financial Statements*. The first is a follow-up to the invitation to comment (ITC) on the same project that was issued in 2016. That project grew out of a reexamination of the current financial reporting model, which was put in place by GASB Statement No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements — and Management's Discussions and Analysis — for State and Local Governments*, issued nearly 20 years ago. The second PV reexamines part of a conceptual framework PV from 2011, the remaining part of which went on to become GASB Concepts Statement No. 6, *Measurement of Elements of Financial Statements*.

GASB develops concepts statements to guide the Board's future standard-setting activities.¹ In this case, the two PVs — one a proposed standard and the other a proposed concepts statement underpinning the former's most significant proposals — were issued simultaneously. That is because a primary stated purpose of the Reporting Model PV is to provide conceptual consistency within governmental fund financial statements that the GASB believes is lacking in the current reporting model.² Thus, the Reporting Model PV (the proposed standard) and the Recognition PV (the proposed concepts statement) are fundamentally intertwined.

The point of overlap between the PVs³ is a proposed new measurement focus (MF)⁴ called the *short-term financial resources MF*, which would replace the *current financial resources MF* now in use for governmental fund financial statements. The Short-Term MF would divide transactions and other events (hereafter referred to jointly as transactions) into two types. The first, for transactions normally resulting in flows of cash and other short-term financial resources (hereafter referred to jointly as cash flows) *within* one year of the transaction's inception, is referred to as a short-term transaction and for fund activity reporting purposes classified as a current activity. The second, for transactions normally resulting in cash flows that *extend beyond* one year of the transaction's inception, is a long-term transaction and event, and is reported separately as a noncurrent activity. The type of transaction would dictate whether the resulting resource flows (revenues and expenditures, in current terminology) are recognized immediately upon the transaction's occurrence (short-term) or only when the related cash flows are due (long-term).

As discussed in the Reporting Model PV, short-term financial resources would include cash as well as resources that can be converted to cash or consumed in lieu of cash. Notably, this would definitively bring supplies inventories and prepaid items onto the governmental funds balance sheet, where-

as governments now generally have the option of reporting expenditures for these immediately upon purchase or prepayment.

The distinction between current and noncurrent activities in the proposed Short-Term MF would essentially replace the Current MF's distinctions between revenues and expenditures on one hand, and other financing sources and uses on the other. However, there are some important distinctions between flows for noncurrent activities and other financing sources and uses. One is that all flows related to long-term transactions would be consistently characterized as such, whereas long-term debt transactions currently result in other financing sources when borrowed, but debt service expenditures when repaid. The GASB is aiming to achieve a symmetry in conceptual consistency. The Short-Term MF would have the benefit of no longer requiring the recording of another financing source (to stand in for debt proceeds) and another financing use (to stand in for capital expenditures) when lessee governments enter into capital leases.⁵ As no actual flow of financial resources results from the lease transaction upon inception, there would be no recognition in a governmental fund. Lease payments would be recognized, when they are due, as outflows of current financial resources (cash) for noncurrent activities.⁶

Another important distinction between the Current MF and the Short-Term MF regards interfund activity. Interfund payables and receivables would not be reported in governmental funds in all cases when using the Short-Term MF, as they are when using the

Current MF. Interfund balances would only be reported in governmental funds when they relate to short-term transactions. Interfund transfers, currently reported as other financing sources and uses, would instead be classified based on the short-term or long-term nature of the transactions from which they result. It is notable that using the Short-Term MF, interest — even on long-term debt and capital leases, which are themselves long-term transactions — would be recognized as it accrues because the *accrual* would be the transaction (or, in this case, the event) from which it arises, and interest is normally paid within one year from the time it accrues.

Two important concepts that would continue in the Short-Term MF are those of resource availability and the normal timing of cash flows; however, the application of each would change.

Two important concepts that would continue in the Short-Term MF are those of resource availability and the normal timing of cash flows; however, the application of each would change.

Inflows (revenues) related to a period are only recognized to the extent that they are available, meaning that they are, or are expected to be, collected during the current period, or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Under the Current MF, the maximum length of time beyond the fiscal year-end that cash flows related to the reporting

period may occur and the resources considered available at year end varies both within and between governments. In contrast, and in line with the GASB's focus on consistency, the Short-Term MF would use a uniform one-year availability period.

The concept of “normally,” used to describe the timing of the cash flows when distinguishing between long-term and short-term transactions in the Short-Term MF, is the one articulated in the GASB's Interpretation No. 6, *Recognition and Measurement of Certain Liabilities and Expenditures in Governmental Fund Financial Statements*. It refers to what is “normal” for all governments, rather than for a particular government or a type of government. In the Reporting Model PV, however, the GASB indicates that it intends to issue guidance to identify what would be “considered normal for specific classes of transactions.”⁷ Indeed, in that PV, the GASB proposes to treat all revenue anticipation and tax anticipation note issuances as short-term transactions, even if the final maturity date is beyond one year after issuance. In this way, the GASB categorically characterizes these two types of notes as short-term, as their issuance normally results in cash flows *within* one year.

When the GASB first sought feedback on this reporting model reexamination project in the form of the ITC issued in December 2016, they proposed three potential alternatives for governmental funds. While there were other differences between the approaches, one key difference was regarding the availability period that would be standard in each. In providing comments to the GASB on the ITC, GFOA favored⁸ the approach

When the GASB first sought feedback on this reporting model reexamination project in the form of the ITC issued in December 2016, it proposed three potential alternatives for governmental funds.

MICHELE MARK LEVINE is the director of GFOA's Technical Services Center.

Statement of Ownership, Management, and Circulation (All Periodicals Publications Except Requester Publications)											
1a. Section Title: <u>Civilian Control</u>											
b. Paid Electronic Copies c. Total Paid Print Copies & Free Web + Paid Electronic Copies (in Nov) d. Total Print Distribution (Sum 10c + Paid Electronic Copies (in Nov)) e. Paid Public Bulk Print & Electronic Copies (Not divided by Nov + 10c)	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Account No. Copied From: Newspaper Preceding 12 Months</th> <th style="text-align: right; font-size: small;">No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">n/a</td> <td style="text-align: center;">n/a</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">20,452</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20,559</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">20,211</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20,438</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">99%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">99%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Account No. Copied From: Newspaper Preceding 12 Months	No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date	n/a	n/a	20,452	20,559	20,211	20,438	99%	99%
Account No. Copied From: Newspaper Preceding 12 Months	No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date										
n/a	n/a										
20,452	20,559										
20,211	20,438										
99%	99%										
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I certify that 98% of my distributed copies (electronic and print) are paid above a minimal price.											
f. Publication or Statement of Circulation											
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This publication is a general publication; publication of this statement is required. Will be printed in <u>December 2014</u> , issue of the publication.	<input type="checkbox"/> Publication not required										
g. Signature of Editor or Publisher: _____ <small>I am authorized to sign as Editor or Publisher.</small>											
<u>November 27, 2014</u>											
<p><small>I hereby declare that information furnished on this form is true and complete. I understand that anyone who furnishes false or misleading information on this form or who omits material or information requested on the form may be subject to criminal sanctions (including fines and imprisonment) and/or civil sanctions (including multiple damages).</small></p>											